Mapping the incidence of school dropouts: A case study of selected communities in Northern Ghana

C. Adu-Yeboah

# **Breakdown of presentation**

Context
Purpose of study
Methods
Findings
Conclusion
Implications

# Context

# Savelugu-Nanton district:

- 4<sup>th</sup> most deprived of the 53 deprived districts
- 80% (143 out of 149) of population lives in rural areas
- Main occupation is farming (about 97% of the population between ages 18 & 54)
- Prone to child labour, non-school attendance and drop out
- Migration, child porters and girls' early marriage impact on enrolment and attendance.

# Purpose

To find out how children drop out of school before age 16 and why, i.e.
 what conditions/ processes of events/interactions within the family, school and community impact on children's school attendance and shape their exclusion from school.

# Methods

#### Sample:

- One district (Savelugu-Nanton) in the Northern Region selected
- 4 Circuits known for drop outs, low attendance, truancy due to farming, market and other activities;
- 6 Communities (4 semi-urban, 2 rural) within the circuits known for farming activities and market days.

#### Procedure for data collection:

- Identified loitering children during school hours;
- Used Snowballing to recruit others;
- Sought parents'/guardians' consent to interview children;
- Interviewed 89 drop outs.

# Findings: Characteristics of Drop outs

- Average age: 12.8: over 50% between 12 & 15 years;
- Grade level:
  - majority (about 82%) dropped out before JSS. About 47% dropped out in lower primary; 35% in upper primary; 18% in JSS;
- Communities with highest tendency for dropping out:
  - Rural: 74% misses school more often than the semiurban where 26% misses school often

# Findings: Preceding at-risk factors: children's absenteeism from school

#### **School-related reasons**

- Ineffective teaching and learning due to teacher absenteeism on Fridays for:
  - a. Muslim prayers and b. week end travel.

# Household-related

#### <u>reasons</u>

- Market day on Thursdays;
- Run errands;
- Care for livestock;

**Farm:** 

62% boys, 37% girls weed, plant and harvest during farming season from June to September.

# Findings: children's stated at-risk factors

#### **Factors**

- **1.** Difficulty in learning
- 2. Corporal punishment
- 3. Irregular weekly attendance
- 4. Irregular monthly attendance
- 5. Over-age/under-age
- 6. Repetition
- 7. Teachers

<u>No</u> .	<u>%</u>
84	94.4
58	65.2
34	38.2
32	36.0
30	33.7
23	25.8
10	11.2

# Findings: Final critical events resulting in drop out

# <u>Critical events</u>

- **1.** Child labour
- 2. Poverty
- **3.** The death of a parent
- 4. Parents' lack of interest in education
- **5.** Fosterage
- **6.** Poor performance
- 7. Blame on teachers
- 8. Sickness
- 9. Pregnancy
- **10.** Miscellaneous

<u>%</u> 31.5 22.5 9.0 7.9 6.7 6.7 4.5 3.4 2.2 3.4

#### **Findings:**

Relationship between at- risk contributory factors and final critical events

#### Case story 1: Joseph

At-risk contributory factors:

- Irregular attendance and long absence from school
- Household labour needs: helping his father on the farm weeding, planting and harvesting groundnuts between June and August

Running errands on Fridays for his father

#### Final critical events resulting in drop out in P.3:

- Cancellation of his name from the register
- Child labour

#### **Findings:**

Relationship between at- risk contributory factors and final critical events contd.

#### **Case story 2: Rose**

At-risk contributory factors:

- Difficulty learning English/poor performance
- Corporal punishment
- Irregular attendance
- One grade repetition

# Final critical event resulting in drop out: Death of a parent

<u>NB:</u> Unrelated at-risk factors and final critical events

# Conclusion

- Single known factors contributing to school drop out, found in other studies are evident:
  - Child labour
  - Poverty
  - Teacher absenteeism
  - Irregular school attendance
  - Poor academic performance
  - Grade repetition
  - Corporal punishment
  - Family shock (e.g. death of a parent)
- Drop out is a process, not an event;
- A combination of at-risk preceding factors make drop out easy;
- At-risk factors may not necessarily lead to drop out;
- Critical events culminate into dropping out when the atrisk preceding factors are not addressed.

# Implications

- Local education authorities and school governance bodies should promote policies and practices that would identify advance indications of drop out and minimise its occurrence.
- Schools should operate more flexibly to accommodate farming seasons when there is a strong need for children's labour. E.g increase contact hours on days when children are in school.
- Local education authorities and school governance bodies should enforce effective supervision and monitoring of schools.
- Educate parents and community on practices that harm children's attendance and progress in school and also contribute to drop out.